



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. 09/289,258	FILING DATE 04/09/01	VASEL	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR E	62862	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-------------------------------	-------------------------	-------	---------------------------	-------	---------------------

022242 PM82/0723  
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY  
120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET  
SUITE 1600  
CHICAGO IL 60603-3406

TUDOR, H	EXAMINER
----------	----------

3641 ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
------------------	--------------

07/23/01

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/289,258	4/9/99	Vasel et al	62862

EXAMINER	
Tudor, H. J.	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3641	16

DATE MAILED:

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) <u>Mr. Ed Vasel</u>	(3) <u>Mr. Thomas Lebens</u>
(2) <u>Ex. Tudor</u>	(4) _____

Date of interview 7/19/01

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☒ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: Paint balls, guns for firing paint balls, TV news reports

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 101, 126, 196 new

Identification of prior art discussed: Carbone, Vasel et al, Olson, Fedida.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Fully discussed the invention and possible amendments. It is the examiner's opinion that Carbone teaches a paintball containing powdered pepper.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Harold Tudor  
Examiner's Signature

PTOL-413 (REV. 2-93)

ORIGINAL FOR INSERTION IN RIGHT HAND FLAP OF FILE WRAPPER